## PENNSYLVANIA PRESCRIBED FIRE COMPLEXITY RATING WORKSHEET

Site:				Unit:	Agency:	Date:
Complexity Score (circle)						
Low (44-80 pts)				Moderate (81-150 pts)	High (151-220 pts)	
Weighting Factor x Cor	mplexity	Value =	= Total p	points. Sum of Total points = Complexity Score. <i>A</i>	ssign each complexity v	alue as a 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5
Complexity Element	Weighting Factor	Complexity Value (1-5)	Total Points	Rationale and/or Mitigation Procedures (Use for clarification of rationale and/or Complexity Value.)		
1. Safety	5					
2. Difficulty of Containment	5					
3. Fuels and Fire Behavior	5					
4. Wildland   Urban Interface	5					
5. Objectives	4					
Sub Total (Page 1)	Sub Total (Page 1)					

Complexity Element	Weighting Factor	Complexity Value (1-5)	Total Points	Rationale and/or Mitigation Procedures	
6. Management Organization	4				
7. Contingency Planning and Resources	4				
8. Natural, Cultural, Social Values	3				
9. Air Quality Values	3				
10. Logistics	3				
11. Tactical Operations	2				
12. Cooperator Coordination	1				
Sub Total		Page 2		Additional Comments:	
		Page 1			
Complexity Score				Rated by:	

Complexity Element	Complexity Value Evalu	Complexity Value Evaluation Examples (Not all items necessarily need to be present)								
	1	3	5							
1. Safety	<ul> <li>All safety issues have been identified and mitigated.</li> </ul>	A number of significant issues have been identified and some of them are difficult to address through mitigation.	Complex safety issues exist.							
Weighting Factor - 5										
2. Difficulty of Containment	Low threat of escape past unit boundaries.  Park at 121 and 122 are 1200.	Moderate threat of escape from unit boundaries.	High threat of escape from unit boundaries.  Part of the first and 70%							
Weighting Factor - 5	<ul> <li>Probability of Ignition&lt;50%.</li> <li>Boundaries naturally defensible or firebreaks easily installed and defended.</li> <li>Secondary control lines strong and easily accessed by vehicles and/or crew.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>50<probability ignition<70%<="" li="" of=""> <li>Moderate risk of slopover or spot fires.</li> <li>Fuel type produces numerous firebrands.</li> <li>Secondary control lines difficult to access or not secure.</li> </probability></li></ul>	<ul> <li>Probability of Ignition&gt;70%.</li> <li>High risk of slopover or spot fires.</li> <li>Secondary control lines non-existent or inadequate without significant resource commitment.</li> </ul>							
3. Fuels and Fire Behavior  Weighting Factor - 5	<ul> <li>Low variability in slope &amp; aspect.</li> <li>Weather uniform and predictable.</li> <li>Surface fuels (grass and/or needles) only.</li> <li>No drought present or predicted within burn period.</li> <li>Duff or organic soils will not ignite.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Moderate variability in slope &amp; aspect.</li> <li>Weather variable but predictable.</li> <li>Ladder fuels present and torching expected.</li> <li>Fuel types/loads variable.</li> <li>Dense, tall shrub or mid-seral forest communities.</li> <li>Drought index indicates normal to moderate drought conditions; present expected within burn period.</li> <li>Upper level of duff or organic soil will burn.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High variability in slope &amp; aspect.</li> <li>Weather variable and difficult to predict.</li> <li>Extreme fire behavior and/or stand replacement fire.</li> <li>Fuel types/loads highly variable.</li> <li>Altered fire regime, hazardous fuel /stand density conditions.</li> <li>Drought index indicates severe drought conditions; present or expected within burn period.</li> <li>Significant portions duff or organic soils will burn.</li> </ul>							
4. Wildland / Urban Interface	<ul> <li>No risk to people or property within or adjacent to fire, or values to be protected are easily mitigated.</li> <li>Potential damage from escape low.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Several values to be protected.</li> <li>Mitigation through planning and/or preparations is complex.</li> <li>May require some commitment of specialized resources.</li> <li>Potential damage from escape moderate.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Numerous values and/or high values to be protected.</li> <li>Severe damage likely without significant commitment of specialized resources with appropriate skill levels.</li> <li>Potential damage from escape high.</li> </ul>							

Complexity	Complexity Value Evaluation Examples								
Element	1	3	5						
5. Objectives  Weighting Factor - 4	Prescriptions broad.     Easily achieved objectives.	<ul> <li>Reduction of both live and dead fuels.</li> <li>Moderate to substantial changes in two or more strata of vegetation.</li> <li>Objectives judged to be moderately hard to achieve.</li> <li>Objectives may require moderately intense fire behavior.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Precise treatment of fuels and multiple ecological objectives.</li> <li>Major change in the structure of 2 or more vegetative strata.</li> <li>Conflicts between objectives and constraints.</li> <li>Requires a high intensity fire or a combination of fire intensities that are difficult to achieve.</li> </ul>						
6. Management Organization	<ul> <li>Span of control held to 2 - 3.</li> <li>6 - 12 person crew and 1 - 2 engines.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Span of control held to 4 – 5.</li> <li>Multiple resources required (engines, dozers, terra torch, etc.).</li> <li>8 - 20 person crew and 1 - 3 engines.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Span of control greater than 5 – 7.</li> <li>Multiple branch, divisions or groups.</li> <li>Specialized resources needed to accomplish objectives.</li> <li>Organized management team required</li> </ul>						
7. Contingency Planning and Resources	Adequate contingency resources on site.	Contingency resources limited or have more than a 15 - 30 minutes response time.	<ul> <li>(Fire Use or Incident Management).</li> <li>Contingency resources limited or have more than a 30+ minutes response time.</li> </ul>						
8. Natural, Cultural, and Social Values Weighting Factor - 3	No risk to natural, cultural, and/or social resources within or adjacent to fire, or mitigation through planning and preparations is adequate.	Several values to be protected.     Mitigation through planning and/or preparations is complex.     May require some commitment of specialized resources.	<ul> <li>Numerous values and/or high values to be protected.</li> <li>Severe damage likely without significant commitment of specialized resources with appropriate skill levels.</li> </ul>						
9. Air Quality Values Weighting Factor - 3	<ul> <li>Few smoke sensitive areas near fire.</li> <li>Smoke produced for 1 or fewer burning periods.</li> <li>Air quality agencies generally require only initial notification and/or permitting.</li> <li>No potential for scheduling conflicts with cooperators.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Multiple smoke sensitive areas, but smoke impact mitigated in plan.</li> <li>Smoke produced for 2-3 burning periods.</li> <li>Infrequent consultation with air quality agencies is needed.</li> <li>Low potential for scheduling conflicts with cooperators.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Multiple smoke sensitive areas with complex mitigation actions required.</li> <li>Health or visibility complaints likely.</li> <li>Smoke produced for greater than 3 burning periods.</li> <li>Smoke sensitive Class I air-sheds.</li> <li>Frequent consultation with air quality agencies is needed.</li> <li>High potential for scheduling conflicts with cooperators.</li> </ul>						

Complexity	Complexity Value Evaluation Examples							
Element	1	3	5					
10. Logistics Weighting Factor - 3	Easy access.     Duration of fire is 1 day (holding or monitoring).	<ul> <li>Difficult access.</li> <li>Duration of fire support between 2 and 3 days.</li> <li>Logistical position assigned.</li> <li>Anticipated difficulty in obtaining resources.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No vehicle access.</li> <li>Duration of support is greater than 3 days.</li> <li>Multiple logistical positions assigned.</li> <li>High difficulty in obtaining resources.</li> </ul>					
11. Tactical Operations	<ul> <li>Simple ignition patterns with only one igniter inside the unit.</li> <li>Ignition complete within one burning period.</li> <li>Single ignition method used.</li> <li>Resources required for 1 day.</li> <li>Holding requirements minimal.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Multiple firing methods and/or sequences with two igniters inside the unit at once.</li> <li>Use of specialized ignition methods (i.e. terra-torch or Premo-Mark III).</li> <li>Ignition continues for two burning periods.</li> <li>Resources required for 2 to 3 days.</li> <li>Holding actions to direct or delay fire spread.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Complex firing patterns highly dependent upon local conditions.</li> <li>Simultaneous use of multiple firing methods and/or sequences, greater than 2 igniters inside unit.</li> <li>Simultaneous ground and aerial ignition.</li> <li>Use of heli-torch.</li> <li>Resources required for over 3 days.</li> <li>Multiple mitigation actions at variable temporal and spatial points identified.</li> <li>Aerial support for mitigation actions desirable or necessary.</li> </ul>					
12. Cooperator Coordination	Cooperators not involved in operations.     No concerns.	<ul> <li>Simple joint-jurisdiction fires.</li> <li>Some competition for resources.</li> <li>Some concerns.</li> </ul>	Complex multi-jurisdictional fires.     High competition for resources.     High concerns.					
Weighting Factor - 1								